Extra-stent vascular remodeling in in-stent restenosis after \(^{188}\)Re-M\(\text{AG}_3\) radiation therapy
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Abstract

**Background:** The effect of \(\beta\)-radiation on extra-stent vascular remodeling in patients with in-stent restenosis has not been studied. The correlation between the extent of extra-stent plaque proliferation and that of intimal hyperplasia (IH) in in-stent restenosis in patients who received \(\beta\)-radiation therapy as well as conventional therapy has also not been studied. **Methods:** We evaluated the extra-stent remodeling in diffuse in-stent restenosis between a \(\beta\)-radiation therapy patient group (\(^{188}\)Re-M\(\text{AG}_3\), \(n=50\)) and a control group (\(n=9\)) by applying serial intravascular ultrasound (IVUS) analysis. Matching (post-intervention and follow-up) images were acquired at the follow-up lesion site and were available in 44 of 50 patients who received radiation therapy and in seven of nine control patients. **Results:** There was a significant increase of the external elastic membrane (EEM) area in both groups: 16.4 \(\pm\) 3.3 mm\(^2\) post-intervention to 17.1 \(\pm\) 3.3 mm\(^2\) at follow-up, \(P=0.001\) in the radiation therapy group, and 16.8 \(\pm\) 4.0 mm\(^2\) post-intervention to 17.4 \(\pm\) 4.1 mm\(^2\) at follow-up, \(P=0.008\) in the control group. There were no statistically significant differences of the \(\Delta\) EEM area between the two groups: 0.7 \(\pm\) 0.4 mm\(^2\) in the radiation therapy group vs. 0.6 \(\pm\) 0.4 mm\(^2\) in the control group, \(P=0.389\). The \(\Delta\) IH area correlated with the \(\Delta\) EEM area in the control group (\(r=0.826\), \(P=0.022\)), but not in the radiation therapy group (\(r=0.016\), \(P=0.919\)). **Conclusions:** The findings of this IVUS study were that positive remodeling (increased EEM area) occurred equally in both control and irradiated patients with in-stent restenosis. The extent of remodeling was directly in proportion to IH in the control group, but no such relationship existed in the irradiated patient group.
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1. Introduction

Intravascular ultrasound (IVUS) allows detailed, high-quality, cross-sectional imaging of the coronary artery morphology (intima, media and adventitia) in vivo. The changes that occur in the atherosclerotic disease process, during intervention including stenting and radiation therapy, and in follow-up can be studied in vivo in a manner previously not possible using other imaging modalities [1]. In de novo lesions, serial IVUS studies have demonstrated that the late lumen loss after stenting is almost completely the result of neointimal hyperplasia within the stent [2,3]. There is a chronic increase in plaque mass both outside as well as inside the stent. The neointimal proliferation within the stent correlates directly with the plaque mass growth outside the stent [1].

In in-stent restenosis lesions, the diffuse pattern of in-stent restenosis has been difficult to treat because of its high recurrence rate despite various kinds of treatment modalities [4–6]. Recently, several studies of intracoronary radiation
therapy in patients with in-stent restenosis demonstrated a reduction of binary angiographic restenosis and target lesion revascularization compared with a control group [7,8].

However, a number of unusual findings have been reported after radiation therapy, including aneurysm formation, black holes (echolucent neointimal tissue) and late stent malaposition, which is a separation of the stent struts from the intimal surface of the arterial wall that was not present after implantation [9]. Data on β-radiation therapy of the nonstented lesion has shown that there was significant positive remodeling (an increase of external elastic membrane [EEM] area) [10]. The combination of positive remodeling and inhibition of intimal hyperplasia (IH) appears to lead to late stent malaposition in stented lesion [9]. Therefore, remodeling pattern or change of EEM area after radiation therapy might determine the occurrence of such kinds of clinical events. In patients with in-stent restenosis, however, the effect of β-radiation on extra-stent vascular remodeling has not been studied. The correlation between the extent of extra-stent plaque proliferation and IH in in-stent restenotic lesions treated with β-radiation therapy as well as conventional therapy has also not been studied. Therefore, the objective of the current study was to use serial (post-intervention and follow-up) IVUS to evaluate extra-stent vascular remodeling in in-stent restenosis lesions after β-radiation therapy compared with a control.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Study populations

β-Radiation therapy with a 188Re-MAG3-filled balloon following rotational atherectomy for diffuse in-stent restenosis (lesion length > 10 mm, diameter stenosis >50%) was prospectively performed in 50 consecutive patients [11,12]. Inclusion and exclusion criteria have been previously described in detail [11,12]. Our Institutional Review Board approved this study. Nine patients who did not agree with the radiation therapy, and who therefore underwent rotational atherectomy and balloon angioplasty for diffuse in-stent restenosis during the same study period, were selected as the control group. The baseline clinical characteristics and procedural results between the patients who had received radiation therapy and the control patients were not statistically significantly different [11].

2.2. Radiation delivery system, dosimetry, and procedure

The method of radiation therapy has been previously described in detail [11,12]. The radiation system was a 188Re-MAG3-filled angioplasty balloon. Liquid 188Re is a high-energy β-emitter that is available daily from the 188W/188Re generator (Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, TN, USA). From the dosimetry data, we calculated irradiation time to deliver 15 Gy at 1.0 mm deep into the vessel wall from the balloon/artery interface.

2.3. IVUS imaging protocol

Post-intervention and follow-up IVUS studies were performed in identical fashion. Intracoronary 0.2 mg nitroglycerin was administered. The ultrasound catheter was advanced ~ 10 mm beyond the lesion site, and an imaging run was performed from beyond the lesion site to the aorto-ostial junction. We performed the studies using a commercially available system (Boston Scientific Corporation/CARDIOvascular Imaging System, San Jose, CA, USA) which uses a 30 MHz single-element beveled transducer mounted on the end of a flexible shaft and rotated at 1800 rev./min within a 3.2 F short monorail imaging sheath. With this system, the transducer was withdrawn automatically at 0.5 mm/s to perform the imaging sequence. Ultrasound studies were recorded on 1/2-in high-resolution s-VHS tape for off-line analysis. The post-intervention IVUS imaging run was the final step in the intervention procedure. A follow-up IVUS imaging run was performed before any subsequent intervention.

2.4. Quantitative IVUS measurements

Validation of the cross-sectional area (CSA) measurements of the lumen and plaque+media by IVUS have been previously reported [13]. At each IVUS image slice, the stent, EEM, and lumen CSA were measured with a commercially available program for computerized planimetry. Serial IVUS comparisons between post-intervention and the 6-month follow-up were available in 44 of 50 patients who underwent radiation therapy and in seven of nine control patients. The remaining patients refused to undergo a 6-month follow-up angiogram. Angiographic restenosis occurred in five (11.3%) of 44 patients who received radiation therapy and in three (42.9%) of seven control patients. On playback of the post-intervention and follow-up IVUS studies, the matching (post-intervention and follow-up) IVUS image slices were acquired at the follow-up lesion site. In practice, the follow-up target slice was first identified and then the distance from this target slice to the closest identifiable axial landmark was measured (using seconds or frames of videotape). Finally, this distance was used to identify the corresponding slices on the post-intervention IVUS studies. Vascular and perivascular markings were also used to confirm the image slice identification. If necessary, the analysis was done side by side, and the imaging runs were studied frame by frame to ensure that the same matching image slices were measured.

We then made the following calculations for all lesions: (1) IH CSA (mm²)=(stent CSA − lumen CSA); (2) Δ stent CSA (mm²)=(follow-up − post-intervention) stent CSA; (3) Δ lumen CSA (mm²)=(follow-up − post-intervention) lu-
men CSA; (4) Δ IH CSA (mm²)=(follow-up – post-intervention) IH CSA; and (5) Δ EEM CSA (mm²)=(follow-up – post-intervention) EEM CSA.

The analysis of the differences and changes of the Δ lumen CSA and the Δ IH CSA between control patients and patients who received radiation therapy has been previously reported in detail [11].

2.5. Reproducibility of IVUS measurements

Because stent filaments can interfere with the visualization of the EEM in stented segments, the reproducibility of measurements of the EEM was tested in a blind comparison performed by two independent operators in five in-stent restenosis lesions. The intraobserver and interobserver correlation coefficients for EEM were 0.935 and 0.921, respectively.

2.6. Statistical analysis

Categorical data are presented as frequencies. Continuous data are presented as mean ± S.D. Comparison between the patients who received radiation therapy and control patients was performed with unpaired or paired t-test. Linear regression analysis was performed to assess the relationship between the Δ EEM CSA and the Δ IH CSA and to evaluate the reproducibility of the IVUS measurements. We considered a P-value < 0.05 statistically significant.

3. Results

IVUS data are shown in Table 1. There were no statistically significant differences in the post-intervention IVUS variables between the control patients and patients who received radiation therapy.

In patients who received radiation therapy, the EEM CSA significantly increased from 16.4 ± 3.3 mm² post-intervention to 17.1 ± 3.3 mm² at follow-up (P=0.001). In control patients, the EEM CSA also significantly increased from 16.8 ± 4.0 mm² post-intervention to 17.4 ± 4.1 mm² at follow-up (P=0.008).

There were no statistically significant differences of the Δ EEM CSA between the two groups. The Δ EEM CSA was 0.7 ± 0.4 mm² in the radiation therapy group and 0.6 ± 0.4 mm² in the control group (P=0.389). At the follow-up lesion site, the Δ IH CSA correlated with the Δ EEM CSA in control patients (r=0.826, P=0.022), but not in radiation therapy patients (r=0.016, P=0.919) (Fig. 1).

Table 1
Intravascular ultrasound data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Radiation</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No. of lesions</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-intervention</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EEM CSA (mm²)</td>
<td>16.4 ± 3.3</td>
<td>16.8 ± 4.0</td>
<td>0.803</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stent CSA (mm²)</td>
<td>8.9 ± 2.3</td>
<td>9.3 ± 2.7</td>
<td>0.700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lumen CSA (mm²)</td>
<td>5.6 ± 1.7</td>
<td>6.7 ± 2.7</td>
<td>0.249</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IH CSA (mm²)</td>
<td>3.3 ± 1.3</td>
<td>2.7 ± 1.3</td>
<td>0.244</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Follow-up</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EEM CSA (mm²)</td>
<td>17.1 ± 3.3</td>
<td>17.4 ± 4.1</td>
<td>0.864</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stent CSA (mm²)</td>
<td>8.9 ± 2.3</td>
<td>9.3 ± 2.7</td>
<td>0.707</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lumen CSA (mm²)</td>
<td>5.0 ± 1.8</td>
<td>3.7 ± 1.8</td>
<td>0.191</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IH CSA (mm²)</td>
<td>3.9 ± 2.1</td>
<td>5.6 ± 1.9</td>
<td>0.063</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Δ, (follow-up) – (post-intervention); CSA, cross-sectional area; EEM, external elastic membrane; IH, intimal hyperplasia.
4. Discussion

4.1. De novo lesions after stenting

Several studies showed that the change within the stent was relatively homogeneous, namely, almost exclusively tissue growth [2,3]. However, the changes in the surrounding media and adventitia were complex. The short-term effects included thinning of the media and a possible rupture of the internal elastic membrane; the long-term effects included inflammatory infiltrate surrounding the stent wires, replacement of the media by myofibroblastic proliferation, and increases in collagen and mucopolysaccharide content of the media [14]. Serial IVUS study has shown that the vascular overstretch caused by stenting promoted IH in proportion to the degree of the sectional vascular stretch [15]. These results suggested that stretch or injury to the adventitia rather than to the intimal side played a significant role in neointimal proliferation in the stented lesions. These findings in stented lesions are consistent with those in nonstented lesions noted in an animal study, i.e. adventitial myofibroblasts contribute to the restenotic process by proliferating, synthesizing growth factors, and possibly migrating into the media after overstretch injury to the coronary arteries [16]. Additionally, tissue proliferation surrounding the stent occurs in proportion to neointimal proliferation. A previous IVUS study showed that there was a direct correlation between the increase in plaque mass inside and outside the stent [1]. This would suggest that positive remodeling surrounding the stents presumably occurs in response to extra-stent tissue proliferation [1]. However, a recent other IVUS study reported that there was a distinct trade-off between positive remodeling and in-stent hyperplasia [17]. In segments where the degree of extra-stent remodeling was less, neointimal proliferation within the stent was greater [17]. These results suggested that positive remodeling surrounding the stents presumably occurs in response to extra-stent tissue proliferation [1]. However, compared with the control group, in-stent restenosis might be a non-specific response to injury. A previous IVUS study has demonstrated that positive remodeling surrounding the stents presumably occurs in response to extra-stent tissue proliferation in de novo lesions after stenting [1]. These earlier findings in de novo lesions after stenting might be applied to in-stent restenosis lesions.

In our current study, a direct relationship existed between the ΔIH area and ΔEEM area in the control group. However, compared with the control group, Δβ-radiotherapy resulted in significant inhibition of IH. Therefore, we saw no such relationship between the ΔIH area and ΔEEM area in irradiated patients.

Our study has several limitations. Firstly, this was not a randomized placebo-controlled study. Secondly, the number of patients (especially in the control group) was small. Thirdly, this study was performed with β-radiotherapy with a 188Re-MAG3-filled balloon. Thus, the results of the present study cannot be compared to those of other studies that used different kinds of radiation sources, delivery methods, and interventional devices. Fourthly, we did not evaluate the influence of rotational atherectomy on extra-stent vascular remodeling in this study. Fifthly, we did not perform blind IVUS measurements between the two groups.

In conclusion, positive remodeling (increased EEM area) occurred equally in both the control and irradiated patients with in-stent restenosis. The extent of remodeling was directly in proportion to IH in the control group, but no such relationship existed in the irradiated group.
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